



Inference about a single population

Confidence Interval

- An estimator $\hat{\theta}$ of θ is unbiased estimator if $E(\hat{\theta}) = \theta$. Otherwise, $\hat{\theta}$ is a biased estimator with bias = $E(\hat{\theta}) - \theta$. The best estimator is the one with minimum variance over the unbiased estimators. Note that S.E. ($\hat{\theta}$) = Std. of $\hat{\theta}$.
- \bar{X} is unbiased estimator for μ since $E(\bar{X}) = \mu$.
- Consider the sample mean

$$T_1 = \frac{X_1 + X_2 + X_3}{3}$$

and the weighted average

$$T_2 = \frac{X_1 + 2X_2 + X_3}{4}.$$

Now

$$E(T_1) = \mu, \text{ and } E(T_2) = \mu$$

both T_1 and T_2 are unbiased for μ .

Cont./Confidence Intervals



$$\text{Var}(T_1) = \frac{\sigma^2}{3}, \text{ and } \text{Var}(T_2) = \frac{\sigma^2 + 4\sigma^2 + \sigma^2}{16} = \frac{3\sigma^2}{8}$$

So $S.E.(T_1) < S.E.(T_2)$ and then \bar{X} is a better estimator than T_2 .

- (L, U) is called a $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ confidence interval (C.I.) for the parameter θ iff

$$P(L < \theta < U) = 1 - \alpha$$

- Let $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. The sample mean $\bar{X} \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2/n)$. Therefore

$$Z = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \sim N(0, 1).$$

Now

Cont./C.I. for μ

$$\begin{aligned}1 - \alpha &= P(-z_{1-\alpha/2} \leq Z \leq z_{1-\alpha/2}) \\&= P(-z_{1-\alpha/2} \leq \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \leq z_{1-\alpha/2}) \\&= P(\bar{X} - z_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \mu \leq \bar{X} + z_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}})\end{aligned}$$

$(1 - \alpha)100\%$ C.I for μ is

$$\left(\bar{X} - z_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{X} + z_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \right)$$

Or we can say $(1 - \alpha)100\%$ C.I for μ is

$$\bar{X} \pm z_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

Clearly the form of the C.I. for μ is

Estimator \pm (*Cut - of - point*) *S.E. (Estimator)*.

Cont./C.I. for μ

Example: Given a sample of 100 observations(temperatures) with $\sigma = 5$. Suppose the sample mean is $\bar{X} = 36$. Give a 90% C.I. for μ . It is in the form:

$$\left(36 - 1.64 \frac{5}{10}, 36 + 1.64 \frac{5}{10}\right) = (35.18, 36.82)$$

(A) Large Sample ($n \geq 30$) C. I. for μ (σ unknown):

$$\left(\bar{X} - z_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{X} + z_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

(B) Small Sample ($n < 30$) C. I. for μ (σ unknown):

$$\left(\bar{X} - t_{\alpha/2} \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{X} + t_{\alpha/2} \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

Note the degrees of freedom needed for t-distribution= $n-1$.

Cont./ Example

- **Example:** A sample of size 5 birth weights are taken from the normal data. These data are (in lb) 5, 6, 6.5, 5.8, 6.7. Give a 90% C.I. for μ .

$$\bar{X} = 6, \quad Std. = S = 0.67$$

a 90% C.I. for μ is

$$\bar{X} \pm t_{0.05} \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} = 6 \pm 2.13 \left(\frac{0.67}{2.24} \right) = (5.36, 6.64)$$

- For large n , $\hat{p} \sim N(p, pq/n)$. Then $(1 - \alpha)100\%$ C.I. for p is

$$\hat{p} \pm z_{1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}\hat{q}}{n}}$$

- Consider a sample of size $n=100$ voters produced $X = 60$ voters in favor of candidate A. Provide a 90% C.I. for p

$$0.6 \pm 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{(0.6)(0.4)}{100}} = 0.6 \pm (1.96)(0.049) = (0.504, 0.696).$$

Testing for μ

(A) Large Sample Test for μ Null hypothesis: $H_0 : \mu = \mu_0$
Alternative hypothesis: $H_1 : \mu > \mu_0 (\mu < \mu_0)$ or $H_1 : \mu \neq \mu_0$.

Test Statistic:

$$Z = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu_0}{S/\sqrt{n}}.$$

Rejection Region for one-sided hypotheses:

$$Z > z_{1-\alpha} \quad (Z < -z_{1-\alpha})$$

Rejection Region for two-sided hypotheses:

$$Z > z_{1-\alpha/2} \quad \text{or} \quad Z < -z_{1-\alpha/2}.$$

Example: The blood pressure is taken to 64 persons. The sample mean is 135 mm Hg and Std. 20 mm Hg. Test at $\alpha = 0.05$ that

(a) $H_0 : \mu = 130$ vs. $H_1 : \mu > 130$

(b) $H_0 : \mu = 130$ vs. $H_1 : \mu \neq 130$

Example

Solution:

(a) $H_0 : \mu = 130$ vs. $H_1 : \mu > 130$

$$Z = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu_0}{S/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{135 - 130}{20/8} = 2$$

Since observed $Z = 2 > z_{0.95} = 1.64$, we reject H_0 .

(b) $H_0 : \mu = 130$ vs. $H_1 : \mu \neq 130$

$$Z = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu_0}{S/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{135 - 130}{20/8} = 2$$

We reject H_0 if $Z < -1.96$ or $Z > 1.96$. Since observed $Z = 2 > z_{0.975} = 1.96$, we reject H_0 .

Testing for p

(A) Large Sample Test for p Null hypothesis: $H_0 : p = p_0$

Alternative hypothesis: $H_1 : p > p_0 (p < p_0)$ or $H_1 : p \neq p_0$. **Test Statistic:**

$$Z = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{\frac{p_0 q_p}{n}}}$$

Rejection Region for one-sided hypotheses:

$$Z > z_{1-\alpha} \quad (Z < -z_{1-\alpha})$$

Rejection Region for two-sided hypotheses:

$$Z > z_{1-\alpha/2} \quad \text{or} \quad Z < -z_{1-\alpha/2}$$

Cont./Example

Example (Breast Cancer Data): Out of 1000 mothers, 30 had breast cancer. Based on large studies, about 2% represent the rate of cancer. Do the above data present sufficient evidence to indicate that the rate of cancer is different from the well-known rate (2%). Use $\alpha = 0.05$.

- $H_0 : p = 0.02$ vs. $H_1 : p \neq 0.02$

$$Z = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{\frac{p_0 q_p}{n}}} = \frac{0.03 - 0.02}{\sqrt{\frac{(0.02)(0.98)}{100}}} = 2.26 > 1.96.$$

We reject H_0 .

- To compute p-value, we have

p-value=2 (Area to the right of 2.26)=2(0.0119)=0.0238.

We reject H_0 based on the p-value.

Con./Example

- **Question:** Do the data present sufficient evidence that the cancer rate is greater than 0.02.

$H_0 : p = 0.02$ vs. $H_1 : p > 0.02$

$$Z = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{\frac{p_0 q_p}{n}}} = \frac{0.03 - 0.02}{\sqrt{\frac{(0.02)(0.98)}{100}}} = 2.26 > 1.64.$$

We reject H_0 .

Determination of the Sample Size

- The sample size n for estimating μ . $(1 - \alpha)100\%$ C. I. for μ is

$$\bar{X} \pm z_{1-\alpha/2} \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

The error of estimation= $E = z_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$. Therefore

$$n = \left(\frac{z_{1-\alpha/2}}{E} \right)^2 \sigma^2.$$

- A researcher wants to estimate the average weight loss of people who are on a new diet plan. If $\sigma = 5$, how large a sample should be to estimate the mean weight loss by 95% to C.I. to within 1.5 kgs.?

$$n = \left(\frac{1.96}{1.5} \right)^2 25 = 42.68 \approx 43.$$

Sample Size for estimating p

- The sample size n for estimating p . $(1 - \alpha)100\%$ C. I. for p is

$$\hat{p} \pm z_{1-\alpha/2} \cdot \sqrt{\hat{p} \hat{q}/n}$$

The error of estimation= $E = z_{1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{\hat{p} \hat{q}/n}$. Therefore

$$n = \left(\frac{z_{1-\alpha/2}}{E} \right)^2 \hat{p} \hat{q}.$$

We have two choices

- (a) If no prior information about p is available, we choose $\hat{p} = 0.5$. In this case,

$$n = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{z_{1-\alpha/2}}{E} \right)^2.$$

- (b) If there is an idea about p , say $p = p^*$. In this case,

$$n = \left(\frac{z_{1-\alpha/2}}{E} \right)^2 p^*(1 - p^*).$$

Example

Example: It is required to estimate the proportion of patients suffering a bad reaction from taking a certain medication p by 95% C.I.. Determine the sample size needed if the error of estimation is about 0.10 in the following cases:

- (a) no prior information about p
- (b) previous study showed that $p \approx 0.20$.

Solution:

- (a) no prior information about p

$$n = \left(\frac{1.96}{0.1} \right)^2 (0.25) \approx 97.$$

- (b) previous study showed that $p \approx 0.20$.

$$n = \left(\frac{1.96}{0.1} \right)^2 (0.20)(0.80) \approx 62.$$

Inference about σ^2

- Remember that $\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$
- $(1 - \alpha)100\%$ C.I. for σ^2 can be described as

$$\left(\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\chi_{\alpha/2}^2}, \frac{(n-1)S^2}{\chi_{1-\alpha/2}^2} \right)$$

- Example: $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{10} \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ such that $S^2 = 10$. Find 90% C.I. of σ^2 .

$$\left(\frac{9(10)}{16.92}, \frac{9(10)}{3.325} \right) = (5.32, 27.07)$$

Testing about σ^2

Null hypothesis: $H_0 : \sigma^2 = \sigma_0^2$

Alternative hypothesis: $H_1 : \sigma^2 > \sigma_0^2$ ($\sigma^2 < \sigma_0^2$) or $H_1 : \sigma^2 \neq \sigma_0^2$.

Test Statistic:

$$\chi^2 = \frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma_0^2}.$$

Rejection Region for one-sided hypotheses:

$$\chi^2 > \chi_{\alpha}^2 \quad (\chi^2 < \chi_{1-\alpha}^2)$$

Rejection Region for two-sided hypotheses:

$$\chi^2 > \chi_{\alpha/2}^2 \quad \text{or} \quad \chi^2 < \chi_{1-\alpha/2}^2.$$

Example:

$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{10} \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ such that $S^2 = 10$. Test $H_0 : \sigma^2 = 8$ vs. $H_1 : \sigma^2 > 8$.

Test Statistic:

$$\chi^2 = \frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma_0^2} = \frac{90}{8} = 11.25.$$

Since $\chi^2 = 11.2 \not\geq \chi_{0.05}^2 = 16.92$, we accept H_0 under $\alpha = 0.05$.

For $H_0 : \sigma^2 = 8$ vs. $H_1 : \sigma^2 \neq 8$.

$$\chi^2 = \frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma_0^2} = \frac{90}{8} = 11.25.$$

Since $\chi^2 = 11.2 \not\geq \chi_{0.025}^2 = 19.02$, we accept H_0 under $\alpha = 0.05$.